Approved For Release 2004/01/16 : CIA-RDP75-00149R000500340084

Does the CIA Dominate U.S. Foreign Policy?

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

HON. WAYNE MORSE

OF OFFICER

IN THE SEMATE OF THE UNITED STATES Saturday, April 25, 1959

Mr. MORSE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the Appendix of the RECORD an article entitled 'Does the CIA Dominate U.S. Foreign Policy" written by Frank Bellamy and published in the National Guardian of April 20, 1959.

There being no objection, the grtfele was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

DOES THE CIA DOMINATE U. B. FOREIGN POLICET

(By Frank Bellamy)

("If war is too important to be left to the generals, it should be clear that intelligence is too important to be left unsuper-(Hanson Baldwin, N.Y. Times, Jan. vised. 18, 1956,))

Spying has become a major industry of American Government. According to Harper's magazine (April 1958) no fewer than per's magazine (April 1200) in the separate clock-and-dagger outlits are at work, carrying out clandesting intrigue on a worldwide basis and spending each year in the neighborhood of \$750 million of the tax-

the neighborhood of \$700 million of the fax-payors money.

"Though exact figures are serial, estimates suggest that between 20,000 to \$7,000 fulltime intelligence specialists corresply are on Cov-ernment payrous," said Herry Howe Radison, author of Central Intelligence and National Security, in the Christian Science Monitor (Dec. 1, 1958). "The cost Japushly ap-proaches \$750 million annually."

The most lushly financed of course, is the

The most lushly financed, of source, is the Central Intelligence Agency. The other eight, which have to get slong on tesser amounts, are the intelligence transless of amounts, are the intelligence the National Security Agency, State Department, Atomic Energy Commission, U.S. Information Agency, FRI, Army, Navy, ean Air

NO CONTROLS

The CIA, now housed in \$0 or more scattered buildings in Washington; is erecting an enormous \$55 milikin edifice for itself scross the river in Virginia. When completed it will be the world's biggest spy center, accommodating there than 10,000 CIA employees. Best tellmuter place CIA's total domestic payroll st, 14,000 and its budget near \$500 million a repr.

After it was established in 1947 under President Trums ale administration, this profossional undersever army was granted by law a degree of in the nity from succountability for funds, peremutil, and octivities probably never exceeded by bur other Freeral agency in American histig.

It is the only payor agency not subject to congressional conjustives control.

Nobody exect the President and a few other persons, a studing 10 or 12 select Congressines why site briefed once a year at budget time, says how much the secret legion spends. My funds for GIA are dis-guised and once and in appropriations for other mersures. Other agenches.

The funds the was are not subject to audit. Top sure the viter without explaining write u \$1 mil/on view; without explaining the country of the safer of the is the only

The CIA is exempted by law from the Civil Service requirements imposed on most Government agencies; it can hire and fire at will.

SACIDOD COW

This is too much power and supersecrecy for Senator Mikk Manspire, Democrat, Montans. Four times he has sponsored bills to create a joint Senate-House watchdor com-mittee to scrutinize CIA. Despite editorial backing from such influential papers as the New York Times and Wall Street Journal MANSFIELD lost each time. Most of his colleagues in Congress still look on CIA as a sacred cow.

Why is the CIA so inordinately jesious of its power and prerogatives, so anxious to maintain itself beyond the reach of the lawmakers? One russon, the record seems to indicate, is to cover up bungles—some iudi-crous, most of them expensive—which would open it to ridicule.

On the ludicrous side, "there are such stories as the news account in a Washington paper some months back of a free-for-all in downtown restaurant between CIA and FBI men. Each group the paper reported, had mistaken the other for Communist agents.? (New York Times, Mar. 18, 1988.)

On the expensive side, there was the time as reported by columnist Westbrook Pegler 'agents of the CIA handed over \$10 million in unmarked \$10 bills to the secret ervice of the Communist Government of Poland in the belief that they were dealing with some underground organization which was opposing that government."

Pegler, by the way, thinks the CIA is "no good and ought to be wiped out."

PLAIN LOUSY

Then there are intelligence failures for which CLA would rather not be held account-The most publicised fumble was its fisticotedness on the eruption of revolutions in Lebanon and Iraq. Both took place without advance forecasts from our vast esployage network. They didn't know what was going on," Senator WAYNE Mosse, Democrat, of Oregon, angrily charged, "Our intelligence

is just plain lousy."

"The New York Times' military expert Hanson Baldwin said (Jan. 15, 1986); "The intelligence record of the Nation and the OIA in particular is spotty. There have been notable successes but also notable failures. • • • A great many other incidents also suggest that all is not well with our intelligence establishments."

A more significant motive for secrecy than concealing bungles and fumbles is OIA's desire to keep its bag of "dirty tricks" from the eyes of the public. It realizes that mil-lions would disapprove if many of its devious dealings came to light. Protests would surely follow exposure of the extent to which CIA adventurism has shaped U.S. diplomacy and, in policy toward some nations, replaced it altogether. .

POLICY MARKS

Charles Edmundson, who spent 8 years in the U.S. Foreign Service before resigning 2 the U.S. Foreign Service before resigning a years ago over Secretary Dulles' policies, accused the OTA in February's Progressive magazine of being "an activist group which steps in boldly to dictate foreign policy in arms not covered by decisions of Congress," have state themselves, or the White Rouse." the State Department, or the White Rouse. Edmundson went on:

"It executes its projects without concern over the reaction of the public. The incidents it provokes are never acknowledged, yet dents it provokes are novel more misshaping—on misshaping—or misshaping public opinion and foreign policy. * *

The most fundamental of all civil liberties is the right of the citizen to make up his mind on public issues without having the facts concessed or distorted by his Govern-The record shows that the CIA, in cooperation with the state Department, systematically contraveres this principle.

few examples of what is happening weep obscurely into some of the better newspapers but nowhere have in a lew liberal publica-tions, are amaricans warned of the potential consequence of the farium, operations of the secrecy-ridden OIA

consequence of the series of the secrecy-ridgen CIA. "Laison between the State Department and CIA is close. Both are headed by a Dulles. Both employ about the same humber of propie (18,000 for the State Department grantular settments at 18,000 for the CIA). If the CIA's \$600 million budget is correct [18] more than twice as much as the State Department apends on its 202 diplomatic outposts ascend the world.

around the world.

In any case, the secret hecisions of the prothers Dulles affect the fate of the world.

When ill-directed, they make enemies abund

and cause great demage at home, Hanson Baldwin summed it up well (New

York Times, Jan. 15, 1956)

"Uncontrolled sacret intelligence agencies are in a position to dominate policymaking, and hence government. Their very secrecy, gives them power; there are few to scoops or reject their findings. * * Any overpowerful secret intelligence agency is dangerous, not alone to the formulation of sound policy, but to the viability of democratic institutions."

Approved For Release 2004/01/16: CIA-RDP75-00149R000500340084-1